

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/662,828		09/15/2003	Paul A. Farrar	MICRON.241DV1	1198		
20995	7590	06/29/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		NS OLSON & BE	EAR LLP	SMITH, BRADLEY			
2040 MAIN FOURTEEN				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
IRVINE, C	A 92614			2824			

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			UM					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/662,828	FARRAR ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Bradley K Smith	2824						
Th MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover shet w	ith th correspond nce address)					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP	'LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 N	10NTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thin d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO! ute, cause the application to become Al	rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the meri	its is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.). 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application	n.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.								
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 September 2003</u> is		•						
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	***	, ,						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	-	• • •	• •					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the i	examiner. Note the attache	a Office Action of form P1O-15	2.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in A	opplication No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•	received in this National Stage	e					
application from the International Bure	` ' ' '							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.						
Attachment(s)			,					
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)						
2) \square Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) \boxtimes Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/13/03</u> .	6) ⊠ Other: <u>Sea</u>							

Application/Control Number: 10/662,828 Page 2

Art Unit: 2824

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. If applicant desires priority under 35 U.S.C. 121 based upon a previously filed application, specific reference to the earlier filed application must be made in the instant application. For benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121 or 365(c), the reference must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) of the applications. This should appear as the first sentence of the specification following the title, preferably as a separate paragraph unless it appears in an application data sheet. The status of nonprovisional parent application(s) (whether patented or abandoned) should also be included. If a parent application has become a patent, the expression "now Patent No. _____" should follow the filing date of the parent application. If a parent application has become abandoned, the expression "now abandoned" should follow the filing date of the parent application.

Claim Objections

1. Claim 9 objected to because of the following informalities: in line two of claim 9, the word should be stack not stick. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2824

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by anticipated by Farrar et al. (US Patent 6,747,347). With respect to all the claims, Farrar et al. discloses the claimed invention because he has the same disclosure.

The applied reference has a common inventor and assignce with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

3. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Woodman (US Patent 4,868,712). Woodman disclose securing a plurality of integrated circuit chips so as to form a chip stack; enclosing the chip stack inside an enclosure; introducing a thermally conductive fluid to the enclosure (see figure 9).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103



Application/Control Number: 10/662,828 Page 4

Art Unit: 2824

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carson et al. (US 5,347,428) in view of Chall (EP 0 315 792). Carson et al. disclose a method of forming chips stacked and secured together to form a chip stack electrically connected to external circuitry, wherein the chip stack has a first lateral face that is comprised of a first portion of each of the chips. However Carson et al fail to teach forming a second chip stack wherein the first lateral face is attached to the first lateral face of the second chip stack. Whereas Chall discloses forming a stacked structure comprising a first lateral face of a chip stack attached to a first lateral face of a second chip stack. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Carson and Chall, because the attachment of one chip stack to another would be a more efficient use of space.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Tuckerman et al. (US Patent 4,573,067) disclose the use of gas for heat transfer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley K Smith whose telephone number is (571) 272-1884. The examiner can normally be reached on 10-6 Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Elms can be reached on (571) 272-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BKS.